**EARTH’S CLIMATE THERMOSTAT**

by Dr. Larry Vardiman*

Introduction

Global warming, the greenhouse effect, and climate change continue to receive attention in the media and scientific circles. A recent decision by President George W. Bush not to ratify the Kyoto Accords on Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) emissions has spurred all kinds of political commentary. Yet, scientists remain divided on the legitimacy of attributing global warming to human releases of CO₂. Approximately half of the atmospheric science community remains skeptical of global warming. Some of these atmospheric experts have gone so far as to sign statements refuting the results of theoretical climate models used to predict future warming.¹

In June 1990 I first discussed some of the issues at play in the greenhouse controversy. I went so far as to suggest that cloudiness had not been treated adequately in global climate models and could function as a negative feedback mechanism in the atmosphere which served to limit global warming.² I have also discussed global warming in light of what I believe are mistaken interpretations of the Ice Age.³ ⁴ Since 1990 the connection between carbon dioxide and global warming has become slightly clearer, but significant governmental regulation has been proposed. In this article I will attempt to restrict my comments to the scientific aspects of the controversy. Fortunately, cooler heads currently prevail in Washington, D.C., forestalling implementation of unnecessary policies advocated by our former Vice President.⁵

**Atmospheric Concentrations of CO₂**

The concentration of CO₂ at the observatory on Mauna Loa, Hawaii, has exhibited a continuing increase since 1990.⁶ Figure 1 shows this increase through 2000 with annual oscillations due to the summer growth of continental and marine vegetation in the northern hemisphere which extracts CO₂ from the atmosphere in the summer and releases it in the winter. Solid horizontal lines show estimated levels which prevailed in 1900 and 1940.⁷ The magnitude of the atmospheric increase during the 1980s and 1990s was about three
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gigatons of carbon (Gt) per year. This compares to 5.5 Gt per year estimated for the human release of CO$_2$, primarily from coal, oil, and natural gas, and the production of cement. However, these numbers are small compared to the reservoirs of carbon: 750 Gt in the atmosphere, 1,000 Gt in the surface ocean, 2,200 Gt in the vegetation, soils, and detritus, and 38,000 Gt in the intermediate and deep oceans.

Figure 1 Atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration at Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Approximate global concentrations of CO$_2$ in 1900 and 1940 are also shown as horizontal lines. 

Temperature Trends

It is apparent that CO$_2$ is increasing in the atmosphere, whether for natural or human causes. However, does this rise in CO$_2$ result in increased warming of the atmosphere? Figure 2 shows the annual mean surface temperature in the contiguous United States between 1895 and 1997 as compiled by the National Climate Data Center. The trend line for this 103-year period has a slope of 0.22°C per century. Note, however, that the slope from 1940 to 1997 is much lower at 0.08°C per century during this period when the greatest increase in CO$_2$ was observed. The greatest rate of estimated warming does not seem to coincide with the period of greatest increase in atmospheric CO$_2$.

Questions have been raised about the accuracy of the surface temperature measurements used in these calculations. For example, the so-called “heat island effect” may have caused the temperature observations to be biased to higher values toward the end of the century as weather-observing locations were encroached upon
by growing cities. It is well known that temperatures in cities exhibit higher
temperatures than surrounding countrysides. It is likely that 100-year-old weather
stations have a built-in warming trend due to this effect.

In an attempt to remove this bias, temperature trends of radiosonde measure-
ments from 63 upper-air stations between 90°N and 90°S latitude from 1958 to 1996
and measurements of a satellite microwave sounding unit between 83°N and 83°S
latitude from 1979 to 1997 of global lower tropospheric temperatures were studied.
Both of these systems show a slight decline in temperature since 1979.

These temperature trends all fly in the face of projections by the United Nations
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The IPCC has routinely stated
that increases in global atmospheric temperatures by as much as 5°C (10°F) would
occur if the concentration of CO₂ were to double. The IPCC has been the primary
source of scientific expertise which has led to the Kyoto agreement that CO₂
emissions be cut drastically in developed countries. Recommendations by the IPCC
are based primarily on the results of global climate models which still do not
include an adequate treatment of many physical mechanisms like cloud cover.

**A Climate Thermostat**
In March of 2001 a significant paper by Richard Lindzen of MIT was published in
the *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* which addresses the cloud-
cover feedback mechanism. Dr. Lindzen has been a long-time critic of the IPCC
and a highly respected researcher in the atmospheric sciences. His paper reports that
clouds in the tropics respond to warmer sea-surface temperatures (SST) by permit-
ting long-wave radiation to space to increase, causing greater cooling of the
atmosphere. This negative feedback mechanism would more than cancel all the
positive feedbacks included in the more sensitive current climate models.
Dr. Lindzen calculated the average SST as a function of cloud cover and found a strong negative relationship. His explanation for the negative relationship is that warmer SSTs lead to higher humidities and greater convective activity. This greater convective activity is more efficient in creating rainfall, leaving less moisture to form cirrus anvils which prevent long-wave radiation from escaping to space. Consequently, warmer SSTs lead to more rapid cooling of the atmosphere and stabilization of the earth’s temperature.

Conclusions
Richard Lindzen’s mechanism works differently than the one I proposed ten years ago, but the result is similar. In either case, God has designed the atmosphere to maintain a uniform temperature, whether there is a cooling or a warming tendency. Only under catastrophic conditions will the atmosphere experience major changes. This type of catastrophic event occurred during the Genesis Flood, but this event involved unique conditions not applicable now. Under normal circumstances, God has designed the atmosphere with a built-in thermostat which maintains thermal equilibrium. This climate condition does not lead to a runaway greenhouse or a new ice age. The view of a climate with a built-in thermostat contrasts strongly with the conventional worldview that the atmosphere is unstable and a minor perturbation could lead to a natural catastrophe of either fire or ice.
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