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FROM THE EDITOR

It’s Only Natural...or Is It?

Each morning when I look out across our back deck at home with my cup of coffee, I see so many amazing sights—boats on a canal, a variety of trees, flowers, and grass, the diversity of local wildlife, clouds moving across an ever-changing sky, leaves blowing in the wind, and so much more. Everything outside appears so very alive. There’s movement, changing colors, and even sounds. So what do we call what we see outside? Nature.

But “nature” doesn’t convey the same meaning for everyone, especially when discussing science.

As a believer, I attribute all of the wonder of “nature” to God our Creator. He designed it all to function in very specific ways throughout the year and around the planet. Seasons change. Fruit grows. Ducks fly. Fish swim. Water flows. Everything exhibits a certain vitality, all according to God’s perfect plan. Genesis 1 describes His original creation when He engineered every form of life to live, multiply, and “fill” the earth according to His command.

But even though it appears that nature is alive, is it really? Is nature biologically alive in any sense? Does nature think or choose or do anything at all by itself? In popular science understanding, does nature actually “select” the fittest to survive?

Evolutionary scientists would have us believe that all of the beauty and order we observe, and even all of the biological functions in living creatures, are simply the result of natural selection, a term Charles Darwin popularized 150 years ago in his attempt to explain the world around him without the existence of God.

In other words, Darwin (and his successors) tried to attribute intelligence to nature.

Beginning this month in *Acts & Facts*, we will tackle this fundamental pillar of evolutionary thought in light of biblical truth and scientific evidence. Our feature article by ICR CEO Dr. Henry Morris III, “Naturalizing the Supernatural,” zeros in on the basic flaw of natural selection: It robs God of glory. Look for follow-up discussions from Dr. Randy Guliuzza and others on our science team who will take apart the whole idea of natural selection and help us understand how much God really designed into His creation.

Guest author Dr. Jerry Bergman has contributed an eye-opening look at one of the greats in science history, Louis Agassiz. Renowned for his work in paleontology at Harvard, Agassiz never could agree with Darwinian evolution. Dr. Bergman has again allowed us a peek inside the life of another shining star in the field of science who wasn’t afraid to oppose the evolutionary mindset of his day. Read this insightful profile on page 12.

Recently, ICR brought on board Dr. Rhonda Forlow as our new Education Specialist. An expert in K-12 education, Dr. Forlow will be involved in a number of education initiatives at ICR involving curriculum, seminars, school events, and much more. Read her interview on page 19.

As always, we are grateful to each of you for your interest in biblical creation science and rely upon your generous partnership with us each month to continue researching, teaching, and communicating the wonders of creation.

Lawrence E. Ford
Executive Editor
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Explaining away the miracles of God is not a new phenomenon. Scholars have attempted for several centuries to develop mechanistic or naturalistic explanations for everything from the epic Ten Plagues of Egypt and the crossing of the so-called “Reed” Sea, to the various theories “humanizing” our Lord’s resurrection—all in an attempt to naturalize God’s supernatural power.

This intellectual rebellion against God (for that’s what it really is) appears to be driven by the same presumptive lie Eve bought into at the prompting of Lucifer, the great Enemy—“ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil” (Genesis 3:5). Not satisfied with the prescriptive restriction of God in Eden, fallen man continues to flaunt his arrogant ego by explaining what God does in terms that his own finite mind can comprehend.

Many of these attempts are rather easy to spot—especially by those who love the Word of God. The resurgence of the various theistic evolution theories, led by groups such as Bio-Logos that espouse the “creation by evolution” mantra, would be theologically irrelevant if it were not that embracing evolution requires a rejection of the doctrine of inerrancy, the revealed nature of God Himself, and much of obvious science as well.

The uncertainty of one thing, does not necessarily affect the certainty of another thing. Our ignorance of many points need not suspend our assurance of a few. Before we yield, in any particular instance, to the skepticism which this sort of insinuation would induce, we ought accurately to ascertain, whether our ignorance or doubt concern those precise points upon which our conclusion rests.

The danger of such proponents is not that their error is so sophisticated that only highly educated scholars can refute it, but rather that their power of persuasion and influence is based on their attempt to be inclusive and loving, gracious to those who disagree with...
their enlightened position, and the apparently irrefutable argument that their education puts them in a place of paternal superiority over the non-trained. Jesus warned against such false prophets, “which come to you in sheep’s clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves” (Matthew 7:15).

Were it merely these big issues that the Kingdom must contend with (creation, evolution, rejection of such obvious miracles as the Ten Plagues and Christ’s resurrection, etc.), we could rather easily avoid their “profane and vain babblings” (1 Timothy 6:20) and leave the rebuttal to the Holy Spirit in His convicting work of regeneration.

However, their foundational error is to reject the worship of the Creator, valuing the creature more than that which can be clearly seen as evidence that God alone is Creator (Romans 1:18-25; Psalm 19:1-4). Such rejection of the Creator inevitably leads to a comfortable inclusion of non-supernatural rationale and a growing extension of natural explanations for the sovereign oversight of God in the functioning of our universe.

This Enemy-driven embracing of the “way which seemeth right unto a man” (Proverbs 14:12) is sweeping more and more professing Christians into a toleration of doubt in the authority of Scripture and a growing acceptance of natural explanations for the obvious presentation of supernatural sovereignty and omnipotent oversight of the creation.

“Many,” the apostle Peter notes, “shall follow their pernicious ways” (2 Peter 2:2).

Much of our Christian confidence resides in our faith in the revealed Word of God. When we are taught to question—or perhaps even to disbelieve—the words of Scripture, then we will sense the disquiet of the Holy Spirit who is charged with guiding us into all truth. If we ignore His prompting, we soon face the possibility of developing a seared conscience (1 Timothy 4:2), which will either hobble or disable our ability to grasp the truth that surrounds us.

This is particularly so when Scripture offers a broad view of God’s providential sovereignty, as when He expresses Himself in the age-long conservation of our planet.

The LORD said in his heart, I will not again curse the ground any more for man’s sake; for the imagination of man’s heart is evil from his youth; neither will I again smite any more every thing living, as I have done. While the earth remaineth, seedtime and harvest, and cold and heat, and summer and winter, and day and night shall not cease. (Genesis 8:21-22)

Seldom do we even consider that the very continued existence of the earth is by the Creator’s supernatural protection and oversight. Much less do we seek for evidence of that providential care. No, more often we simply accept that “things” are working just fine, or we laud “nature” for its implied power to maintain the status quo.

From a scientific perspective, God provides profound insight in the covenant to Noah cited above. The Creator Himself will take on the responsibility to conserve—to preserve the designed processes of His creation so that it (the planet and its various life forms) will continue to function with sufficient efficiency to maintain operational effectiveness. Fundamentally, this tells us that the conservative processes that we see operating all around us are overseen, supernaturally and providentially, by the very Creator who brought them into existence in the first place (Isaiah 46:10-11).

Those broad processes are really evidences of God’s initial design structures, which are now being overseen and directed by the providential sovereignty of the Creator. The so-called process of “natural selection” is a good example of how men have thrown their light of worship on the created thing rather than on the creating Person. Instead of giving the credit to some “force” of nature, personifying and in many cases defying the process, we should identify the observable mechanics that God has built into our environment and glorify the Creator rather than that which was created.

Perhaps the reader will recall that God issued a divine mandate to the various animal “kinds” to “be fruitful, and multiply, and fill…” (Genesis 1:22). The authority to direct and subdue the processes and life of earth was delegated to mankind (Genesis 1:28).

The evidence for God’s design has expanded exponentially as our human skill develops technology with an ever-increasing ability to explore both the big and the small. This may be most astounding as we gain a clearer understanding of the enormous information in the genomes of living things. The more we learn about the processes of life and the functions of cells, the more beautiful and intricate are those mysteries that underlie reality.

Perhaps instead of sweeping those great mysteries into an inscrutable category of “natural” phenomena, we should begin to consider how our Creator programmed and designed those functions so that they would work at any time and in any environment as creatures “fill the earth.” Instead of accommodating evolutionary jargon—such as natural selection—that either ignores or purposefully excludes the sovereign Creator’s work, we should begin to examine the data in the light of the directives of Genesis and seek to understand what God has placed into His creation that would permit the transmission of “seed” in such a way that the different created kinds would be able to “fill” the various environments on the earth.

He who is the Alpha and Omega surely knows the “end from the beginning” (Isaiah 46:10) and is fully capable of creating that which would operate “after the counsel of his own will” (Ephesians 1:11).

Believers—who are not only the beneficiaries of God’s human creation with the mandate of dominion over the earth, but are also the twice-born created after God “in righteousness and true holiness (Ephesians 4:24)—should surely be able to uncover the evidence of God’s sovereign design that will bring glory to the Creator rather than aggrandizing the faceless and impotent god of evolutionary randomness.
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One of the recent cell biology and genetics projects at ICR has involved the compilation of years of research data on the cell’s telomere system in plants and animals, with an emphasis on its role in humans and in the intelligent design paradigm. The intriguing results of this extensive literature review—led by Dr. Jeffrey Tomkins in collaboration with Dr. Jerry Bergman—can be found in an upcoming issue of the Journal of Creation under the tentative title “Telomeres: implications for aging and evidence for intelligent design.”

The telomere system found in the cells of all plants and animals contains structural and dynamic features that protect the ends of linear chromosomes, making possible higher forms of cell life beyond that of single-cell bacteria. Scientists today have a very difficult time trying to account for the existence of linear chromosomes, much less telomeres. According to the general evolutionary argument, linear chromosomes, and hence telomeres, arose from the aftermath of some hypothetical cell cataclysm. As the story goes, one of the first primitive cells engulfed a bacterium and somehow pirated its circular chromosome for its own genomic purposes. At some point later in cellular history, perhaps even immediately after engulfment, the pirated circular chromosome suddenly fragmented into single linear pieces and miraculously—amidst all the chaos and in total violation of all known physical and chemical laws—formed the complex molecular end-cap apparatus now known as telomeres, albeit in a somewhat more primitive yet undefined state.

As with the many other insurmountable evolutionary transitions in the supposed rise and advancement of cells over time via materialistic and naturalistic processes, the miraculous black box of cataclysm and chaos is “scientifically” invoked as having mystically given rise to something new and improved. As rational biblical creationists, we see that this sort of reasoning is complete nonsense. In fact, when the most basic and elemental of all natural laws is considered, the law of cause and effect, the only logical conclusion for the highly engineered mechanisms found in cells, such as telomeres, is that an omnipotent Creator is the cause.

In humans, the telomere system is associated with a large number of age-related diseases, cancer cell biology, and cell longevity in general. Because of its association with cell longevity and human lifespan phenomena, the area of telomere research has received much publicity over the past 20 years in popular technical news reports. Understanding this unique cellular system may help to explain some aspects associated with the wide historical variation in human longevity, specifically the disparity of lifespans as described in the biblical record before and after the global Flood. In addition, the interdependence of the wide variety of components related to the telomere system in the cell provides an overwhelming case for both irreducible complexity and intelligent design.

In our upcoming Journal of Creation article, we show how the telomere system is structured, how the various components of the system work, how other cell systems tie into the telomere apparatus, how telomeres play a role in disease and cell longevity, how epigenetic factors control telomere activity, and how the application of large-scale genomics research into telomere function has yielded exciting new discoveries. These fascinating data are coalesced into an apologetic argument for divine creation via the perspective of intelligent design. The end result is an overwhelming argument for divine engineering and biblical creation.
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**Host an ICR Demand the Evidence Conference at Your Church**

Bring creation truth to your area with an ICR conference. Speakers include Henry Morris III, John Morris, Randy Guliuzza, Nathaniel Jeanson, Frank Sherwin, and other ICR science experts. Choose our most popular Sunday format. ICR will provide teachers for combined children, youth, and adult Sunday school classes, along with Sunday morning and evening speakers and optional afternoon Q&A sessions.
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Secret codes and ciphers are serious business—just ask Paul Revere.

Listen, my children, and you shall hear
Of the midnight ride of Paul Revere,
On the eighteenth of April, in Seventy-Five;
Hardly a man is now alive
Who remembers that famous day and year.

He said to his friend, “If the British march
By land or sea from the town to-night,
Hang a lantern aloft in the belfry arch
Of the North Church tower, as a signal light—
“One if by land, and two if by sea;
And I on the opposite shore will be,
Ready to ride and spread the alarm
Through every Middlesex village and farm,
For the country-folk to be up and to arm.”

Obviously, espionage relies on very precise and carefully crafted communication. Many spies and secret agents die when their messages are intercepted. Communications to and from spies, therefore, are often accomplished by using very clever codes to intelligently transmit valuable information. No one who honestly studies the use of coded information in clandestine espionage activities would attribute such carefully coded (and decoded) communications to mere chance or accident.

Coding and Decoding at the Biomolecular Level

Illogically, however, many look at the more cleverly coded communications that are sent and received inside living cells and explain what they see as products of blind chance and “evolutionary accident.” Yet genetic code-based communication is informationally more complex and detailed than any system humans could create, and it displays engineering complexity beyond our wildest imagination. And these biomolecular communications are being sent and received all the time, every millisecond! How can this be?

Evolutionists demonstrate the inexcusable illogic of crediting this remarkable communication system to “natural selection” by describing its supposedly “accidental” parts and processes using vocabulary that sounds like the cryptographic vocabulary of spies and secret agents. In other words, evolutionists use words that prove they are observing providentially programmed biomolecular communication at work. How is this?

When accurately describing what happens inside a eukaryotic cell’s nucleus or mitochondrion, evolutionary geneticists routinely describe what they see using terms like code (e.g., genetic code, protein coding, coding regions), encode, codon, anti-codon, decode, transcription, translation, blueprint, program, information, instruction, control, edit, decipher, messenger, reading, proofreading, signal, alphabet, letter, language, gene expression, information, surveillance (for detecting nonsense), etc. It is important to recognize that these genetic message-oriented terms were not imposed on the evolutionists by the creationists!

The details of how immeasurably ingenious all of this biochemical information machinery is—and it is!—have been
Specifically, genetic science reveals God’s purposeful encoding of genetic messages, with mind-bogglingly complex instructions on how to build living things from the biomolecular level upward, with those same encoded messages being efficiently decoded and recognized with sufficient accuracy to produce responsive compliance with those biomolecular instructions!

Unintelligible Messages Are No Good

In the world of spies and counterspies, intelligent agents use codes with language that is designed to be recognizable by the intended recipient. Codes have been employed from time immemorial to prevent messages from being intelligible to unintended recipients.

However, a coded message is no good at all if the intended recipient cannot understand its encoded meaning. Accordingly, every code-based message must be informationally devised (i.e., created), encoded, and sent to the intended readers. The readers must then decode the message, recognize the information it contains, and act on that information in a way that corresponds to the original purpose of the message’s creator. It is vital that the intended recipient understand the sender’s meaning, because the message itself is unintelligible unless both sender and receiver share a common understanding of what the words (or other symbols) mean.

Consider the following message: “One if by land, two if by sea.” What does that sequence of words signify? Because that message used a language shared by the sender (Robert Newman, with the help of John Pulling) and receivers (those awaiting word on the movement of British troops), it provided a recognizable warning that “the Regulars [British soldiers] are coming” by water, not by land. Two lanterns lit in the Old North Church on the night of April 18, 1775, provided a signal—but it was recognizable as such only to those who knew the “language” shared by Paul Revere and his allies.

This principle of coded information transfer is illustrated at the subcellular level. If a protein-coding “message” borne by a portion of DNA cannot be transferred by RNA and translated on ribosomes provisionally fitted for the task, the DNA’s instructions cannot be compiled with, and that would mean no protein synthesis—which can be a fatal failure for whatever life form is involved, whether girl or gecko, boy or bacterium.

Metaphors Describe Genetic Information Transmittal

In short, genetic realities must be expressed using human communication metaphors, because only such metaphors accurately portray the underlying realities of biochemical information processing. It is quite proper to use metaphors if they accurately assist in communicating truth. DNA and RNA are heavily involved in encoding and decoding information, and the biochemical “language” used truly exhibits transcription, translation, editing, and the like.

Some Metaphors Are Misleading

The genetic code metaphors listed above are helpful because they help communicate real truth about how biomolecular information is sent and received at the sub-cellular level. However, not all metaphors employed by scientists are helpful for conveying truth. Darwin’s phrase “natural selection” is a poster child example of a misleading metaphor, because it illegitimately imputes selective power to an unintelligent and unpurposeful environment metaphorically personified by Darwin as “Nature.” This misleading reification of nature is employed to attribute to it the God-like power to select and favor creatures big and small, from Staphylococcus to cetaceans, so that “selected” life forms can out-compete their evolutionary inferiors.

But unlike the genetic code metaphors, the metaphor “natural selection” does not describe any underlying physical reality. Even some evolutionists recognize this and are embarrassed:

The answers that have been suggested so far have not been convincing. In particular, though there is no end of it in popular accounts of adaptationism, it is a Very Bad Idea to try and save the bacon by indulging in metaphorical anthropomorphisms. It couldn’t, for example, be literally true that the traits selected for are the ones Mother Nature has in mind when she does the selecting; nor can it be literally true that they are the traits one’s selfish genes have in mind when they undertake to reproduce themselves. There is, after all, no Mother Nature, and genes don’t have, or lack, personality defects. Metaphors are fine things; science probably couldn’t be done without them. But they are supposed to be the sort of things that can, in a pinch, be cashed. Lacking a serious and literal construal of “selection for,” adaptationism founders on this methodological truism.

It is a good thing that Paul Revere did not wait on nature to “select” a code-message about the British, because there is no intelligent, decision-making “Mother Nature” who can select anything or anyone.

There is, however, a Creator who used infinite intelligence and engineering skill to provide the providential programming that is observed in the interactive coded and encoded communication that occurs, non-stop, in nuclear and mitochondrial DNA, RNA, and ribosomes. That Creator is the God of the Bible. He has revealed Himself in and through the Lord Jesus Christ, and He is the one we should gratefully revere.
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The almost worshipful fascination of dinosaurs in this generation is nothing new, though its extent is growing explosively. One wonders how many leading modern evolutionists were drawn into evolutionism through their childhood study of dinosaurs. The late Stephen Jay Gould, for example, testified that he got his start that way.

What’s going on here? Is this just another fad, or is it in some way an important sign of the times? Actually, all the nations of antiquity seem to have had a similar obsession with dinosaur-like animals they called “dragons.” The biblical writers, inspired by God, also wrote about dragons. The first reference to created animals, in Genesis 1:21, says that “God created great whales,” but the Hebrew word for “whales” (tanninim) is translated “dragons” in over 20 other passages. Note especially Isaiah 27:1: “In that day the Lord...shall punish leviathan the piercing serpent, even leviathan that crooked serpent; and he shall slay the dragon that is in the sea.”

This type of sea dragon was called a “leviathan” (see also Psalm 74:14; 104:26). It was described by God Himself in Job 41:1-34 as a fearsome, fire-breathing (v. 21) monster whose scaly hide (vv. 15-17) could not be pierced with sword or spear.
(vv. 7, 26-29). God also described a huge land
dragon called a “behemoth” (Job 40:15-24)
that “moveth his tail like a cedar” and is “the
chief of the ways of God,” impossible to capture
(vv. 17, 19, 24). Various other dragons are
depicted as dwelling in different types of habitats
and as being of various sizes (e.g., Isaiah 34:13;
Micah 1:8; Malachi 1:3). In some of these cases,
modern translations have rendered tannîyn as
“jackal,” but the Hebrew word means “dragon”
or “monster,” not jackal.

Dragons were even described in reputable zoological treatises published during the Middle Ages. Even though dragons sometimes were said to have supernatural abilities, all these ancient nations regarded them as real animals, frequently encountered by humans.

The article on dragons in the Encyclopaedia Britannica (1949 edition) noted also that
dinosaurs were “astonishingly dragonlike,”
even though its author assumed that
those ancients who believed in dragons
did so “without the slightest knowl-
edge” of dinosaurs. All dinosaurs are
assumed by evolutionary geologists to
have been extinct since the end of the Mesozoic Era, about 65 million years ago,
whereas the first dinosaur fossils were not
discovered until early in the 19th century.

In any case, dinosaurs—like dragons—are said to have existed at one time in great
numbers and varieties all over the world. Great
dinosaur-bone beds have been found on every
continent, as far north as Spitzbergen in the
Arctic Ocean and as far south as Antarctica,
about 400 miles from the South Pole.

Many geologists have concluded that the age
of the dinosaurs did come to a sudden end
as the result of a global catastrophe of some
kind, although there is much disagreement as
to what type of catastrophe this may have been.
A great flood, accompanied by tremendous
volcanic eruptions, with the implied resulting
worldwide climatic change from subtropical
to the present latitudinal variations, could well
account for the vast dinosaur graveyards and
trackways all over the world.

The Bible, of course, describes just such a flood that occurred several thousand years
ago. There are now thousands of scientists who
have become creationists and are convinced
that the biblical Flood provides a much better
explanation than the geological-age system for
the phenomena of earth history, including the
dinosaurs and their extinction.

Most creationists believe that dinosaurs
have coexisted with man from the beginning,
only becoming extinct in the Middle Ages. That
being so, one must envision a pre-Flood world
with vast herds of dinosaurs occupying many
areas in every region. The antediluvian popula-
tion would certainly be familiar with their
existence. There were, therefore, many remind-
ers to antediluvian people everywhere on the
earth of “the great dragon…that old serpent,
called the Devil, and Satan” (Revelation 12:9).

These beasts should have reminded pre-Flood
men and women of the serpent whom their
first ancestors had encountered in the Garden
of Eden, bringing sin and death into God’s
perfect world.

Even after the Flood, dinosaurs could still
be seen occasionally, though not in the great
herds common in former times. At the climax
of the Satan-caused sufferings of the prophet
Job, for example, God told him to observe two
of these great animals, the land-dwelling behe-
moth and the ocean-dwelling leviathan, and to
realize that—even though no man alone could
ever vanquish such awesome reptiles—God
was well able to defeat them, for it was He who
had made them. Just so, God had also created
the fallen angel, Satan, who had taken over the
body of the serpent back in Paradise, and God
could vanquish him, as well.

That old Dragon had invaded Paradise, and
God had cast him out into the earth, where
he continues to this day leading men and wom-
en to rebel against God and His Word. It is he
“which deceiveth the whole world” (Revelation
12:9) with the monstrous lie that there never
was a Creator whom men should worship.

He wants to reign himself and to persuade the
world to worship him instead of its real Maker
and Sustainer.

And amazingly enough, the time is com-
ing soon when the ungodly world will do just
that! In the Bible’s great prophecy concerning
the humanistic dictator who will reign over
the whole world for a brief time at the end of
the age, a man appropriately called the Beast,
who will not “regard any god,” but will only
“honour the god of forces” (Daniel 11:37-38),
we read that “all the world wondered after
the beast. And they worshipped the dragon
which gave power unto the beast” (Revela-
tion 13:3-4).

But God is still in control. Al-
though the behemoth was the strongest
of all created land animals, “he that
made him can make his sword to ap-
proach unto him” (Job 40:19). And though
the leviathan is “a king over all the children of
pride,” yet God says that not even leviathan can
“stand before me” (Job 41:34, 10). God one day
“shall punish leviathan… and he shall slay the
dragon that is in the sea” (Isaiah 27:1). Finally
the devil that deceived them was cast into the
lake of fire…and shall be tormented day and
night for ever and ever;...along with all the re-
bellious angels and all the rebellious men and
women whose names are not “written in the

There will be no more dragons in Para-
dise in that day. For “there shall in no wise enter
into it any thing that defileth, neither whatso-
ever worketh abomination, or maketh a lie:
but they which are written in the Lamb’s book
of life” (Revelation 21:27).

Adapted from Dr. Morris’ ar-
Facts.

Dr. Morris (1918-2006) was
Founder of the Institute for
Creation Research.
Introduction

Jean Louis Agassiz (1807-1873) is regarded as one of the greatest scientists of the 19th century. A founding father of the modern American scientific establishment, Agassiz was also a lifelong opponent of Charles Darwin’s theory of evolution. Agassiz “ruled in professorial majesty at Harvard’s Museum of Comparative Zoology.”

[He] was a brilliant…man, an essentialist who detested evolutionism—Darwin’s brand in particular—and clung to a vision of well-ordered nature assembled by special creations. The zoology of Agassiz was consonant with the natural theology of William Paley.1

Agassiz wrote that “evidence of the existence of a Creator, constantly and thoughtfully working among the complicated structures that He has made” is found throughout the natural world.2 He concluded that in the living world “is clearly seen the intervention of an intelligent Creator” and that when we evaluate the living world we can see “the mental operations of the Creator at every step.”3

Education

Agassiz was born in the village of Montier in the French-speaking part of Switzerland. Like many naturalists of the time, Agassiz was educated as a physician. He studied with several prominent German biologists, including zoologist Lorenz Oken and embryologist Ignatius Dollinger. After receiving his medical degree from the University of Erlangen in 1830, he traveled to Paris to study comparative anatomy under the most renowned comparative anatomist in all Europe, Baron Georges Cuvier.4

Cuvier, the founder of the field of paleontology, was so impressed with Agassiz’s work on fossil fish that he turned his own notes and drawings, gathered in the course of years of study, over to Agassiz to complete his opus on fossil fish. This research documented that no evidence existed for the evolution of fish from non-fish worm-like creatures as hypothesized by Darwin. When published, Agassiz’s work was “hailed for its accuracy and originality in describing…fishes in the ancient fossiliferous bed of red sandstone.”5

Agassiz concluded from his lifelong study of nature that purpose and design were manifested everywhere in nature.6 He noted that if it required an intelligent mind just to study the facts of biology, “it must have required an intelligent mind to establish them.”7 Following his famous teacher Cuvier, he asserted that the major groups of animals do not represent an-
cestral branches of a hypothetical evolutionary tree but, instead, document a great plan that was used by the Creator to design the many different species in existence today.

Already an eminent scientist while still a young man, Agassiz came to the United States in 1848 to accept a professorship at Harvard. In 1860, Agassiz founded the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard, later to be headed by Stephen Jay Gould. His studies of “fishes, both living and fossil, were definitive, and have never been equaled.” Agassiz and his colleagues also founded The National Academy of Sciences in 1863.

His many students influenced science for decades after his death. Stanford professor-scientist David Starr Jordan noted that “of the older teachers in America—the men who were born between 1830 and 1850—nearly all who have reached eminence have been at one time or another pupils of Agassiz.”

Henry Morris wrote that Agassiz was “also a great teacher, in both Europe and America, where his Harvard classes in natural history were said to have produced all the notable teachers of that subject in America during the last half of the 19th century.” Noted author-naturalist Donald Peattie asserted that “no American scientist ever had as much influence on scientific education as Agassiz.” A man of erudition, Agassiz’s close friends included not only famous scientists such as Darwin, but also Henry Wadsworth Longfellow, Ralph Waldo Emerson, and other literary notables.

A Scientific Creationist

Agassiz saw the divine plan of God omnipresent in nature, and could not accept a theory that denied the intelligent design he saw everywhere in the natural world. Agassiz even once defined a species as “a thought of God.” As Agassiz wrote in his Essay on Classification, his lifelong study of the natural world eloquently documented the “premeditation, power, wisdom, greatness, prescience, omniscience, providence” of God. He declared that “all these facts in their natural connection proclaim aloud the One God, whom man may know, adore, and love; and Natural History must in good time become the analysis of the thoughts of the Creator of the Universe.”

Henry Morris called Agassiz not only “a great Christian paleontologist” but “the father of glacial geology.” Morris added:

He profoundly believed in God and His special creation of every kind of organism. Probably no man was more intimately acquainted with a greater variety of kinds of animals, living and extinct, and it is significant that he was an inveterate opponent of evolutionism to the very end of his life.

Furthermore, Agassiz believed that science can lead to “recognition of the existence of God…from the study of His works” and “the importance of the study of the animal kingdom with reference to its manifestation of the power, wisdom, and goodness of God, is very great.”

Macroevolution Falsified by Science

Long before the mutational theory of evolution was popularized, Agassiz foresaw the overwhelmingly harmful nature of mutations and the inability of “selection” to produce new life forms. He recognized that the problem with Darwinism was not the survival of the fittest, but rather the arrival of the fittest. Agassiz knew, as did most all animal and plant breeders both then and today, that clear limits exist to variation and no known way exists to go beyond these limits in spite of 4,000 years of trying. Creationists today refer to this fact as variation in life limited to that existing within the Genesis kinds. The fact is, all mutations known to us cannot even begin to produce the variety required for molecules to mankind evolution, but rather they create monstrosities, and the occurrence of these, under disturbing influences, are only additional evidence of the fixity of species. The extreme deviations obtained in domesticity are secured…at the expense of the typical characters and end usually in the production of sterile individuals. All such facts seem to show that the so-called varieties or breeds, far from indicating the beginning of new types,
or the initiating of incipient species, only point out the range of flexibility in types which in their essence are invariable.17

Darwin sent Agassiz a copy of his now-famous Origin of Species published in 1859. Although very “familiar with the factual evidence advanced by Darwin,” Agassiz carefully examined his ideas and the evidence on which they were based. As Agassiz studied the Origin, “mounting annoyance” resulted as he continued to read because he recognized that the “ideas it contained were plainly no different from the notions…he had long since rejected.”18

Two years after Origin was published, Agassiz wrote that Darwin’s theory was scientifically wrong and was “propounded by some very learned but…rather fanciful scientific men” who taught that the forms of life presently inhabiting our earth “had grown out of a comparative simple and small beginning.”19 Agassiz concluded that a great variety of evidence discovered in times past has refuted evolutionary theory. He considered this fact based on his palaeontological research “a most powerful blow at that theory which would make us believe that all the animals have been derived from a few that theory which would make us believe that leontological research “a most powerful blow at theory. He considered this fact based on his pa-

A main reason he rejected evolution was based on paleontology, the area of Agassiz’s expertise. Agassiz knew that the fossil record did not support Darwin’s theory and strongly arg-
gued against it. He also concluded, in contrast to Darwinism, that “the crowning act of the Creator, man, was placed on the earth at the head of creation.”20

Agassiz was also active in debating and defending his anti-Darwin views. Among those he debated included Harvard professor Asa Gray, considered the leading American botanist of the 19th century, and Professor William Barton Rogers, President of MIT.21 Unfortunately, in one area Agassiz made a major mistake—he accepted the racist conclusion in that certain groups of men were inferior to others in contradic-
tion to the clear teaching of both biblical and historic Christianity that all humans descend-
ed from one couple, Adam and Eve. Instead, Agassiz accepted the then-popular unbi-

If it required an intelligent mind just to study the facts of biology, “it must have required an intelligent mind to establish them.”22

Agassiz also concluded, in contrast to Darwinism, that “there is order in nature; that the animal kingdom especially has been constructed upon a plan which presupposes the existence of an intelligent being as its Au-
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Conclusions

Harvard professor Louis Agassiz, one of the 19th century’s leading paleontologists, was able to effectively articulate the many major sci-
cient objections to Darwinism that remain un-

Dr. Bergman is an Adjunct Associate Professor at the University of Toledo Medical School in Ohio.
Organic life is the antithesis of simplicity. Theologians, science historians, philosophers, scientists, and science writers have struggled through the decades to carve out a good definition of this cryptic term, but a lucid definition of life continues to evade even the brightest minds.

However one describes it, life truly is complex. An excellent example of how intricate life can be is found in the genome (the total genetic makeup of an organism or cell). In 1990, the ambitious Human Genome Project was initiated to identify and map the genes (DNA) of the human genome. A recent Nature article stated:

“We fooled ourselves into thinking the [human] genome was going to be a transparent blueprint, but it’s not,” says Mel Greaves, a cell biologist at the Institute of Cancer Research in Sutton, UK. Instead, as sequencing and other new technologies spew forth data, the complexity of biology has seemed to grow by orders of magnitude.¹

In regard to genome complexity, biologist Remo Rohs and his colleagues wrote:

Genomes are composed of both protein-coding and nonprotein-coding DNA sequences. Cells have the remarkable ability to decipher the information that is incorporated in both types of sequences. Biologists, on the other hand, are currently unable to do what the cell does—to interpret nonprotein-coding DNA sequences.²

There are two domains within the “superkingdom” prokaryotes: the bacteria and the archaea (which resemble bacteria but have certain differences, such as the composition of their cell walls). Science has shown how naïve the long-held assumption of “simple bacteria” is. Indeed, there is nothing simple about these tiny prokaryotes. A decade ago, ICR published an article outlining bacterial complexity.³ Not surprisingly, bacteria and other single-cell microbes continue to amaze biologists. A recent article in Microbe quoted naturalist (and outspoken anti-creationist) Edward O. Wilson as saying:

Ten billion bacteria live in a gram of ordinary soil....I need venture no farther than ten paces outside my laboratory building. The jaguars, ants and the orchids would still occupy distant forests in all their splendor, but now they would be joined by an even stranger and vastly more complex living world virtually without end.⁴

The article’s authors exclaimed:

We are just beginning to get a glimpse of how extremely complex the microbial world really is! Just attempting to tabulate the number of species present in any given location reveals this remarkable complexity.⁴

They are correct. The microbial world is “extremely complex.” Two German scientists said in regard to intracellular signaling in bacteria:

Despite the apparent simplicity of the basic signaling mechanisms, signal processing in bacteria can show a large degree of complexity. The magnitude of internal and external stimuli means that behavioral decisions must involve cross-regulation between individual sensory systems. At the same time, individual signals have to be insulated against unspecific cross talk to preserve the specificity of input-output relations.⁵

In the face of such enormous complexity, secular scientists must engage in “just-so” accounts of its origins. In regard to how bacteria respond to the vast assortment of environmental signals, six evolutionists said, “A wide range of signal perception modes have evolved.”⁶ This explains nothing. Neither does stating that membrane pores (channels) in microbes “have evolved to be usually closed (in an occluded conformation).”⁷ Scientists have never observed signal perception modes “evolving” or membrane channels “evolving” to be usually closed. Creationists maintain that the detailed conditions required for their very existence (life) were created “in the beginning.”
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The Fossil Record
Unearthing Nature’s History of Life

The Fossil Record thoroughly examines the evidence to determine which worldview—creation or evolution—presents the most accurate portrayal of earth’s early history. Evolutionists rely on the fossil record to support their theory, but what does that record actually reveal?

The claim that fossils document evolution is simply not true. The fossil record communicates a very different message, one supportive of the creation worldview. ICR geologist Dr. John Morris and zoologist Frank Sherwin unearth the evidence of earth’s history and conclude that the fossil record is incompatible with evolution, but remarkably consistent with the biblical account of creation and the great Flood of Noah’s day.

This beautiful, full-color hardcover book is only $19.95 (plus shipping and handling).

The Geology Book

Our planet is a most suitable home, enhanced by the sheer beauty of rolling hills, solitary plains, churning seas and rivers, and majestic mountains—all set in place by geologic processes. The Geology Book covers topics like what really carved the Grand Canyon, how sediments become rock, what volcanoes do, and lots more.

$15.95 (plus shipping and handling)

The Young Earth

Does the age of the earth really matter? The answer is vital to understanding not just earth science, but also the biblical record. Designed for group and self-study, this definitive work includes a CD with PowerPoint presentations illustrating key concepts such as ocean salt levels, the age of the atmosphere, the accumulation of ocean sediments, and much more.

$17.95 (plus shipping and handling)

SPECIAL PRICE!

The Modern Creation Trilogy

The definitive work by Drs. Henry and John Morris on the study of origins from a creationist perspective, The Modern Creation Trilogy examines evidence for both evolution and special creation. This three-book gift set is a must-have for those who believe the Bible is God’s plain-spoken Word. It is available only as an attractive gift-box set.

Normally $34.95, available this month for only $24.95 (plus shipping and handling)
Offer good through March 31, 2011

To order, call 800.628.7640 or visit www.icr.org/store
Evolutionists like to trumpet the power of natural selection. Often they speak of it accomplishing a major evolutionary advancement, but natural selection doesn’t think or plan or provide. Does this imaginary mechanism actually “do” anything? Evolutionists in the militant atheist camp recognize that godlike powers and forethought abilities are often attributed to natural selection, and they react against it. Others search for examples of natural selection having accomplished anything of substance, but examples are hard to find.

Consider the following example regarding skin shade, which I personally observed while on my trips to Mount Ararat in search of Noah’s Ark. Ethnic Kurdish peoples dominate the Ararat region, both in nearby cities and on the mountain itself. They tend to be of an attractive moderately brown shade, although quite a bit of variety can be seen.

Mount Ararat, rising to 17,000 feet in elevation, is home to hardy Kurdish shepherd families. Inhabitants of mountain clans typically are darker than their city cousins. Living a pastoral life, they usually send their young boys out to herd sheep at an elevation of 11,000 feet or more all summer long. These mountain boys spend all day in the bright sunlight and are bathed in the sun’s harmful ultraviolet rays.

On average, mountain Kurdish clans have skin noticeably darker than their ancestral relatives living at lower elevations. While there is discourse between the groups, there wasn’t much re-location or intermarrying, as far as I could tell. Those born into mountain clans tend to live their whole lives there. Probably more of them move to the cities than city dwellers move to high elevation, for life is hard at that elevation and the specialized skills needed for survival do not come easily.

Infants born to either city or mountain families might be rather fair-skinned, rather dark-skinned, or some shade in between, but as they grow, all of the mountain boys work the sheep herds. A darker skin shade, less prevalent due to skin cancer before reaching reproductive age. Thus the darker-skinned individuals bore more children. Over the generations, darker skin would become a tribal characteristic in the mountain clans.

But this isn’t evolution. It might appear to be a textbook case of natural “selection,” but is it? Did any selection process even operate at all? All key functions, reproduction, and variability are innate to people. Either the individual possessed a darker skin shade that protected him, or he did not. This was a function of genetic variability, built in from creation and not imposed through selection. The skin shade either resulted in the death or the survival of an individual and the preponderance of a particular trait within the group. Natural selection didn’t “do” anything, and certainly did not act on purpose. Genetic variety was on display, which favored some individuals, but the end result was a group of people with less potential for variation for future generations.

The Kurdish people descended from the Medes of the Bible. They have always been rather brown-skinned, and certainly have always been people. They have always had the created genetic potential to vary and fill environments. Over the generations of history, neither evolution nor natural selection has occurred in this people. Evolution has neither a mechanism nor enough time to generate significant change.

Selected by Nature or Designed to Fill?

J O H N  D. M O R R I S, P H . D.
Bones were discovered in a cave in Germany’s Neander Valley in the middle 1800s. Since then, many more “Neandertal” remains have been uncovered. The story has been told that they wore no clothes, had a very primitive culture, and hunted animals with clubs as they evolved from an ape-like to a man-like creature. But is this an accurate picture?

Theistic evolutionists and progressive creationists believe that Neandertal and similar “cavemen” lived in a time long before Adam and Eve. One progressive creationist said, “Based on both skeletal and genetic comparisons, it can be conclusively said that the Neanderthal are not related to humans nor are they an ancestor to humans.” Biblical creationists, however, have long taught that Neandertals were fully human descendants of Noah who lived alongside other men during the post-Flood Ice Age. Which perspective most easily accommodates the scientific evidence?

Neandertals are usually depicted primarily as hunters, but “a new study shows they cooked and ate veggies.” Fossilized Neandertal teeth from Belgium and Iraq had grain starch on them. Amanda Henry, lead author of the study, told CNN, “Neanderthals are often portrayed as very backwards or primitive…. Now we are beginning to understand that they had some quite advanced technologies and behaviors.”

An earlier report described “Stone Age” grinding tools along with hundreds of starch grains in various stages of processing, from a variety of plants. Evidence from the field seems consistent with the idea that mankind, including those who sheltered in caves, has always enjoyed a varied diet. So, “cavemen” did not simply hunt for meat. But did they have a simplistic culture?

Evidence of advanced communication and construction skills continues to challenge primitive portrayals of earlier peoples. One study found Stone Age carvings in a South African cave. The “270 fragments of intentionally marked ostrich eggshell” containers were made by people with “social, cultural, and cognitive underpinnings that overlap with those of modern people.” Nearby people make and decorate eggshell carriers in the same manner to this day.

Some of the very earliest human artifacts are knives from Africa, made by heating stone to make it easier to flake into blades. A group of modern scientists reverse-engineered the difficult heating process, concluding, “These people were extremely smart.” Though Neandertals were not found in association with the knives, both overlapped in time.

Bones cut by a stone knife have been dated to a time before mankind had supposedly evolved to make tools. Ancient humans even performed surgery, complete with antiseptics and anesthesia! They made colorful jewelry out of seashells, prompting Discovery News to state, “Even Neanderthals knew how to accessorize.”

And the first completed Neandertal genome “showed us things completely unexpected by evolutionary theory. First, Neandertal was fully human. Second, he is related to people living in Eurasia today. Third, Neandertals interbred with modern humans.” This matches skeletal remains that were part modern, part Neandertal, found in Iberia.

Each “caveman” discovery lines up with God’s record on the matter. Of course Neandertals ate grains and made jewelry and complicated tools—they were fully human beings recently created in the image of God.
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Mr. Thomas is Science Writer.
Dr. Rhonda Forlow first attended college on a nursing scholarship, but after a few years decided she wanted to work with special education children instead.

“I switched colleges and started studying psychology and special education,” she said in a recent interview.

She graduated in 1993 from the University of Virginia’s College at Wise and went to work with students with learning disabilities, emotionally disturbed students, and mentally retarded students for a public school district. She also started a program in her district for middle grade and high school students whose cognitive ages ranged from upper elementary to six months.

During that time, she pursued a master’s degree in educational leadership and policy analysis at East Tennessee State University in Johnson City. She also worked at urban elementary and high schools as a teacher and later as an assistant principal. After obtaining her degree in 1997, she became an administrator of elementary special education in Charlottesville, Virginia.

She received her doctorate in education from the University of Virginia in December 2001 and moved from special education administration to school administration as an elementary school assistant principal. “I did that until I felt the Lord calling me to stay at home with our first child,” she said.

After living in Pennsylvania and doing independent consulting work with Christian schools and parents of special education students, Dr. Forlow and her family moved to Texas in 2008 to allow her husband, Dr. Brad Forlow, to attend Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary in Forth Worth. She worked at Burleson High School with special education students and substituted for assistant principals.

She said she wasn’t very familiar with the Institute for Creation Research before her husband started working part-time here.

“Since Brad’s background is in science, he and I would have lots of conversations about evolution and creation,” she said. “Although I was raised in a strong Christian home, my church didn’t know how to make differences between the two. When he started working for ICR, I learned more about [the differences].”

The disparity between evolution and science became more apparent as Dr. Forlow worked as a science special education teacher. “There were many things that I had to teach that I found questionable as a teacher. I would research on my own and decide what I would or wouldn’t say, basically,” she said.

“We also have our own children who are very interested in different aspects that go along with science,” she said. “We wanted to be able to have the knowledge to help them understand things, like where dinosaurs came from, what happened to them, and how the world was really formed. We just want to have the right information to give them.”

Dr. Rhonda Forlow started full-time as ICR’s Education Specialist in January 2011, bringing her invaluable K-12 experience to the ministry. She’s currently working with a local church and a couple of Christian schools to put together creation science programs for young people. Her other projects include evaluating ICR’s curriculum supplements and going through the website to see how it can be made more user friendly for educators and parents.

“Eventually, I would love to write church curriculum for kids based on creation and what creation scientists believe and why they believe that,” she said. “Something larger than the typical ‘on the first day, God created’ type of curriculum.”

“I believe education starts not just in the home but also in the church,” she said. “And if our leaders in the church and our children don’t come up through the church knowing what to believe, then they’ll be susceptible to believe anything out there and not knowing why it’s wrong. I think our duty and our obligation is to teach them the truth.”

Ms. Dao is Assistant Editor.
I want to express my profound gratitude for your *Days of Praise* devotionals. A church member from ten years ago provides me with about 50 copies to share with my soldiers. This Christmas I served over 500 soldiers and guardians in the southwest Asia. Our work is to support our men and women in Iraq and Afghanistan....[The devotionals] provide another valuable tool to help religious activities, faithful service, and daily strength. So on behalf of my ministry partners, I want to say “thank you.”

— Chaplain R.S., Kuwait

I just wanted to say thank you so much for the wonderful publications of *Acts & Facts* and *Days of Praise*. They have been wonderful encouragements for us. We always look forward to both coming in the mail. *Acts & Facts*’ great articles give me more confidence that if I do come into a debate about creation vs. evolution that I will be more prepared. Some days around here are crazy and when I’m looking for jobs on the computer I can take a short break and read the *Days of Praise* devotional that came in my e-mail. It’s a rejuvenating break, because it refreshes my spirit and so I can keep going with the work that needs to be done. Thank you so much and I praise God for what you do!

— M.R.

Please allow me to express my thanks for the wealth of interesting information that you publish via your website. Please convey my congratulations particularly to Brian Thomas, whose articles are admirably lucid, incisive and always very useful. Keep up the good work!

— N.C., United Kingdom

In Dr. Morris’ book *Treasures in the Psalms*, Tim LaHaye mentions in his forward, “I first met Dr. Morris in January of 1970, while we were guest speakers at the Torrey Memorial Bible Conference sponsored by Biola College.” I had been a student at Biola that very year and had made certain to attend Dr. Morris’ talk/lecture. Prior to that time, I had been a “theistic evolutionist,” made so from liberal state schools and “socialite churches.” After hearing Henry Morris speak at Biola, from that day forward I slowly became an ardent believer in a literal 24-hour, 7-day creation [week] and a global flood, both of recent history. The majority of my Christian growth in biblical creationism was through the books, articles, and video programs of Dr. Morris and the ICR staff.

— D.R.

I have attended one of your conferences in Dallas and was so gratified to finally hear the creation story from a God-fearing scientist. Your statement “if you don’t believe the first verse(s) of the Bible, which can you believe?” really impressed me and has stuck with me long after.

— C.L.

After I complete the Creationist Worldview program, I plan to begin a teaching ministry dedicated to Christians, private schools, or churches that need to learn that theistic evolutionism does not glorify God’s creation works of love and redemption. It is my prayer that my future ministry will be able to lead my students to accept biblical creationism and reject theistic evolutionism.

— M.E.A.

**Editor’s Note:** ICR’s Creationist Worldview is an online program designed to equip current and future Christian leaders with the knowledge and tools to mentor others and influence their world with the truths of Scripture. Visit www.icr.org/cw for more information.

Thank you for the superbly informative articles in *Acts & Facts* that enable us to counter the tragic bias of mainstream scientists in the issue of origins, imposed on us in public media and education, in museums, libraries, and national magazines.

The phenomenon of [the] BioLogos Foundation is almost beyond belief when we consider the availability of excellent and compelling creationist material to any searching Christian in North American today....

To a child of God, the very thought that the images of God (humans) were once animals is so utterly abhorrent, its author (Satan) is clearly discernable....

It is to their credit that the highly credential men of science at ICR, and elsewhere, refuse to compromise with, or to bow before, the image of atheistic naturalism. May God’s blessing on your efforts continue for years to come.

— H.H.

**Have a comment? Email us at editor@icr.org.**

Or write to Editor

P. O. Box 59029
Dallas, Texas 75229
Few would argue that the first decade of the 21st century was an extremely turbulent period on many fronts. As the decade drew to a close and the nation struggled to emerge from the longest and deepest recession since the Great Depression, economic anxiety and uncertainty grew as Congress remained gridlocked on key tax and estate laws that were scheduled to expire. Finally, in the waning moments of 2010, members of Congress agreed to a compromise, passing The Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010.

This long-awaited bill—one of the most significant pieces of legislation pertaining to estate planning passed in the last three decades—is still just a temporary fix. Designed primarily to avoid significant tax increases that could trigger a double-dip recession, the bill essentially preserves the “status quo” while providing a degree of economic stimulus over the next few years. Like most compromises, no one is completely satisfied with every measure of the new law, but it does provide a little something for everyone. And that’s a good thing.

For ICR supporters, the major provisions of the new tax law bring a significant measure of stability, while also providing clarity to establish or revise long-range giving and estate plans.

- Tax rates remain unchanged through the end of 2012 on ordinary income, qualified stock dividends, and long-term capital gains, providing clarity on what available resources you may have to spend, invest, and give to the Kingdom.
- Payroll taxes were cut by 2 percent through the end of 2011, resulting in a small but welcome increase in take-home pay for all taxpayers. Thank God for small blessings.
- The popular IRA Charitable Rollover was restored through the end of 2011, providing traditional or Roth IRA owners age 70½ or older the ability to make charitable gifts directly to ICR without declaring it as income. These IRA gifts also qualify as required minimum distributions, providing a twofold opportunity to support ICR while avoiding taxes on income you would otherwise be required to take. If this opportunity is right for you, please contact your IRA administrator to get started.
- After a one-year repeal, the estate tax was renewed, but at a higher exemption threshold of $5 million per person through the end of 2012. While this higher cap protects over 98 percent of taxpayers from estate taxes, it creates a renewed incentive for wealthy donors to explore charitable remedies that may protect their estates.
- Separate gift and estate tax provisions have now been combined under the new $5 million exemption—a very welcome enhancement. Amounts given either during your lifetime or at death will now apply against the higher cap, providing the chance to experience the joy of giving during your lifetime, rather than delaying your gifts until after death.

Christ most certainly confirmed the responsibility of all believers to “render…unto Caesar” (Luke 20:25) a legitimate portion of our resources to support those governmental authorities established by God (see Romans 13:1-7). Now that the tax uncertainties have been relieved, ICR trusts that our faithful supporters will take advantage of these new opportunities to support our work in the Kingdom. Please contact us regarding any questions or assistance you may need. We would be delighted to help. 

Mr. Morris is Director of Donor Relations.
For over 40 years, the Institute for Creation Research has equipped teachers with evidence of the accuracy and authority of Scripture. Science Education Essentials, a series of science teaching supplements, exemplifies what ICR does best—providing solid answers for the tough questions teachers face about science and origins.

This series promotes a biblical worldview by presenting conceptual knowledge and comprehension of the science that supports creation. The supplements help teachers approach the content and Bible with ease and with the authority needed to help their students build a defense for Genesis 1-11.

Each teaching supplement includes a content book and a CD-ROM packed with K-12 reproducible classroom activities and PowerPoint presentations. Science Education Essentials are designed to work within your school’s existing science curriculum, with an uncompromising foundation of creation-based science instruction.

- Individual supplements are just $24.95 (plus shipping and handling)
- Order all five supplements for $124.75 (plus shipping and handling)

(Each curriculum supplement contains a content book and CD-ROM)

To order, call 800.628.7640, or visit www.icr.org/store

For more information about Science Education Essentials, visit www.icr.org/essentials

Order the entire set of five curriculum supplements at the regular price and receive a complimentary set of ICR’s colorful teaching posters presenting a creationist perspective on geology, genetics, the fossil record, and more!
Dragons: Legends & Lore of Dinosaurs

This fascinating presentation on dragons and their biblical connection sheds light on the truth of their existence and their connection to the last living dinosaurs. Dragons—these powerful, fire-breathing, fantastic beings have left their legacy on this world and can now only be found in the pages of ancient texts.

Dragons: Legends & Lore of Dinosaurs explores the days of these amazing creatures and their presence in various cultures, including Asia, the Americas, and Europe. Read about dragons’ thrilling historical battles with saints, and their ability to terrorize medieval castles. See mystical fantasies brought to life as the truth is revealed.

A great gift for kids, this special book is casebound and beautifully presented, using original illustrations, envelopes, fold-outs, gatefolds, and more!